## Department of Energy

## memorandum

Carlsbad Field Office Carlsbad, New Mexico 88221

DATE:

April 3, 2003

RÉPLY TO ATTN OF:

CBFO:QA:DSM:GS:03-1120:UFC 2300.00

SUBJECT:

**Evaluation of Corrective Action Report** 

то: Lam Xuan, Manager TRU Waste, RFFO

The Carlsbad Field Office has evaluated the planned corrective actions for Corrective Action Report (CAR) 03-043. The results of the evaluation indicate that RFETS has not adequately addressed the issues identified in the CAR. The evaluation results are documented on the attached CAR continuation sheet.

If you have any questions or comments, please contact me at (505) 234 -7491.

- A Micho

Dennis S. Miehls Quality Assurance Specialist

## Attachments

cc w/attachments: K. Watson, CBFO \*ED A. Holland, CBFO \*ED C. Gadbury, CBFO \*ED G. Morgan, RFFO \*ED J. Schneider, RFFO \*ED C. Riggs, CTAC \*ED A. Pangle, CTAC \*ED G. O'Leary, RFETS \*ED F. Grady, RFETS \*ED B. Walker, EEG \*ED S. Zappe, NMED \*ED

\*ED

\*ED

\*ED

E. Feltcorn, EPA P. Roush, WTS **CBFO QA File CBFO M&RC** 

M. Eagle, EPA

R. Joglekar, EPA





## CBFO CORRECTIVE ACTION REPORT

(Continuation Sheet)

1. CAR No. 03-043	2. Activity Report No. A-03-03	3. PageO1
Block 17 Evaluation of Proposed Corrective Actions		
The following is an evaluation of the response as submitted by the RFETS on March 27, 2003.		
Remedial Action: Acceptable as written.		
Actions to preclude Recurrence: The actions to preclude recurrence have not been adequately addressed. It is understood that RFETS does not expect this situation will recur. However, January to July is six months and if RFETS NDA personnel are presented with a low mass Pu sample(s) that is not represented by therange of standards available for Weekly Interfering Matrix Checks, it is recommended the following actions betaken:		
<ul> <li>Perform and document the Weekly Interfering Matrix Check with whatever available standards are closest to the Sample's Pu range</li> <li>Initiate an NCR if appropriate, i.e., if there is a violation of the requirements of DOE-WIPP-02-3122, Appendix A</li> </ul>		
Perform an Expert Technical Review of the check as part of the NCR closure process, and document the review		
Provided that the Weekly Interfering Matrix Check for the higher range Pu sample is acceptable this approach would be fine from a technical perspective. The NCR would address the Quality Assurance aspect in that it would provide a record that a Weekly Interfering Matrix Check was performed as required by DOE-WIPP-02-3122, Appendix A		
and the same of th		
Evaluated By: Date: Date:		